Some Epoxy-olefin Cyclisations

By ENAMUL HUQ, MICHAEL MELLOR, EDWARD G. SCOVELL, and JAMES K. SUTHERLAND* (Chemistry Department, The University, Manchester M13 9PL)

Summary It is shown that certain alk-2-enyl-2,3-epoxy-3methylcyclohexanones can be cyclised in good yield with some Lewis acids to decalone derivatives, but use of weak Lewis acids and/or nonpolar solvents leads to ring contraction. The elegant work of Johnson¹ has established cationinduced cyclisation of polyenes as a viable synthetic method for the preparation of certain types of alicycles. Useful yields generally result only when a stable carbonium ion, *e.g.* tetra-alkylallyl or oxonium, is used to initiate cyclisation. Use of co-ordinated epoxide to initiate cyclisation has given valuable results² which have an important bearing on terpene biosynthesis but as a synthetic method it leaves something to be desired. Previously we have shown that a tetrasubstituted cyclohexene epoxide could be cyclised³ in good yield. In order to examine the generality and usefulness of this as a preparative method we have examined epoxy-olefins with varied double bond substitution.

The substrates for cyclisation [(1)-(7)] were synthesised⁴ by alkylation of Hagemann's ester with appropriate halideor tosylate, followed by hydrolysis, decarboxylation, and epoxidation of the cyclohexenone with $NaOH-H_2O_2$. Cyclisation (TiCl₄, CH₂Cl₂) of (1) gave (8) (30%) and (11)^{\dagger} (25%). Spectroscopic data for (8) $[\nu_{max}$ (CCl₄) 3495 and 1715 cm⁻¹; τ (CDCl₃; 300 MHz) 5·23 (1H, m), 5·28 (1H, m), and $9{\cdot}15$ (3H, s)] established part structure (A) for (8)where C^* is either C-1, -2, -3, or -10; (8) was assigned on the basis of mechanism and the degradation of related cyclisation products. With other Lewis acids (8) was also formed together with varying amounts 5 of (12) $[\nu_{max}~(\mathrm{CHCl}_3)~1745$ and 1715 cm^{-1} ; τ (CDCl₃) 4·3 (1H, m), 5·1 (2H, m), and 8.7 (3H, s)] which on alkaline hydrolysis gave the acid (14). If it is accepted that gas-phase metal-oxygen bond strengths⁶ give a rough measure of Lewis acidity for oxygen for a series of chlorides then it is apparent from the Table that

	TABLE	
Lewis acid	Solvent	Ratio (8): (12)
TiCl ₄	CH_2Cl_2	00
AlCl	"	3.0
FeCl ₃	"	1.1
SnCl ₄	**	0.6
ZnCl ₂	"	0.3
BF ₃ .ŌEt	"	0.8
**	C _s H _s	0.6
**	Ĕt ₂ Ŏ	0.1
ZnCl ₂	"	0.1

^a Ratios determined by g.l.c.

increased Lewis acid strength favours cyclisation over ringcontraction. This could arise from C-O-metal bonds being formed in the cyclisation transition state, whereas C=O-metal bonds result from ring contraction; while both systems would be lowered in energy by increasing bond strengths the latter might be relatively less stabilised owing to a consequent reduction in resonance stabilisation. It is also clear from the Table that decreasing solvent polarity favours ring contraction.

Reaction of (2) with Lewis acids $(BF_3.OEt_2, SnCl_4, or BCl_3)$ gave (9) [τ (CCl₄), 4·3 (2H, m), 9·0 (3H, d, J 7 Hz), and 9·15 (3H, s)] and (10) [τ (CCl₄), 4·63 (1H, m), 8·35 (3H, br. s), and 9·1 (3H, s)] as major products (> 60%). The ketone (10) was reduced by NaBH₄ to a mixture of diols which on cleavage with periodate gave a keto-aldehyde, the spectroscopic properties of which [ν_{max} (CHCl₃) 1730 and 1715 cm⁻¹; τ (CCl₄) 4·3 (1H, m), 8·3 (3H, br. s), and 8·84 (3H, s)] demonstrated that the double bond was not allylic to the hydroxy-group of (10).[‡] Only small amounts of ring contraction product were formed in these cyclisations probably because the more nucleophilic disubstituted double bond increases

the rate of cyclisation' while the rate of ring contraction is independent of double bond substitution. It was therefore surprising that we were unable to obtain any well defined cyclisation products from (3).

In line with Baldwin's view⁸ that 5-endo trigonal cyclisations are disfavoured we obtained only ring contraction products from reaction of (5) and (6) with the common Lewis acids. Reaction of (5) with TiCl₄ gave the ether (15) $[\tau (CCl_4) 2.5-3.9 (3H, m), 7.1 (2H, s), 7.8 (3H, s), and 8.6$ (6H, s); λ_{max} (EtOH) 280 nm (ϵ 2200)]. Attempts to generate a seven-membered ring by cyclisation of (4) led to ring contraction.



† Normal precautions to exclude moisture were taken. This compound is not found to any major extent in other cyclisations.

 \ddagger This excludes the possibility that the product is arising by an 'ene' process followed by rearrangement which has been observed in one special case (see following communications) and which would put the double bond and substituent in the 6,7- rather than the 8,9-positions.

Reaction of the anisole (7) with $BF_3.OEt_2$ or $SnCl_4$ gave (17) (45%) [τ (CDCl₃) 2.95 (1H, m), 3.3 (2H, m), and 8.63 (3H, s)] and (18) (45%) [7 2.9 (1H, d, J 7 Hz), 3.3 (2H, m), and 8.75 (3H, s)] which could be readily separated. The mixture of (17) and (18) was reduced with NaBH₄ and the diols cleaved with HIO4 to the corresponding keto-aldehydes which were separated and characterised; spectral data established that the carbonyl groups were not conjugated to the anisole ring. With $TiCl_4$ (7) gave (17) and (18) as minor products and the dihydrophenanthrene (16) (50%) [τ (CDCl₃) 2·4 (2H, dt), 2·9 (2H, m), 3·2 (2H, m), 6·2 (3H, s), 7.2 (4H, s), and 7.65 (3H, s); $\lambda_{\rm max}$ (EtOH), 278 nm $(\epsilon 29,800)$] as the major one.

cis-Stereochemistry is assigned to all the decalones since they exhibit intramolecular hydrogen bonding which is possible only in the 'non-steroid' cis-decalin conformation; such bonding is not observed in the 5 α - and 5 β -hydroxycholestan-4-ones.

These results suggest that such cyclisations could be developed for preparative use if proton elimination could be directed, e.g. by use of silvl substituents, and that polyolefinic cyclisations might be possible. Such experiments are now under way.

We thank the S.R.C. for support and Dr. J. R. Bull, C.S.I.R., Pretoria, for samples of 5α - and 5β -hydroxycholestan-4-ones.

(Received, 9th March 1978; Com. 255.)

¹W. S. Johnson, R. S. Brinkmeyer, V. M. Kapoor, and T. M. Yarnell, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1977, 99, 8341; D. R. Morton and

¹ W. S. Johnson, R. S. Brinkmeyer, V. M. Kapoor, and I. M. Yarnell, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1977, 99, 8341; D. R. Morton and W. S. Johnson, *ibid.*, 1973, 95, 4419; W. S. Johnson, Accounts Chem. Res., 1968, 1, 1.
² E. E. van Tamelen, Accounts Chem. Res., 1975, 8, 153, and papers cited therein; D. J. Goldsmith and C. F. Phillips, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1969, 91, 5862; D. Nasipuri and S. R. R. Chaudhuri, J.C.S. Perkin I, 1975, 262.
³ P. Marsham, D. A. Widdowson, and J. K. Sutherland, J.C.S. Perkin I, 1974, 238.
⁴ W. S. Johnson, P. J. Neustaedter, and K. K. Schniegel, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1965, 87, 5148; L. I. Smith and G. F. Roualt, *ibid.*, 1943, 65, 631; J. A. Marshall, N. Cohen, and A. R. Hochstetler, *ibid.*, 1966, 88, 3408.
⁵ H. O. House and R. L. Wasson, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1957, 79, 1488.
⁶ L. Brewer and G. M. Rosenblatt, 'Advances in High Temperature Chemistry', Vol. II, Academic Press, New York, 1969.
⁷ F. F. van Tamelen and D. B. Lames, L. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1977, 99, 950.

⁷ E. E. van Tamelen and D. R. James, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1977, 99, 950.
 ⁸ J. E. Baldwin, J.C.S. Chem. Comm., 1976, 734.